

**30 March 2017**

**ALLIANCE RESOURCES LTD**

**ASX: AGS**

**ABN: 38 063 293 336**

**Market Cap: \$8.9 M @ \$0.085**

**Shares on issue: 104,293,923**

**Principal Office:**

Suite 3, 51-55 City Road  
Southbank Victoria 3006  
AUSTRALIA  
Tel: +61 3 9697 9090  
Fax: +61 3 9697 9091

**Email:**

[info@allianceresources.com.au](mailto:info@allianceresources.com.au)

**Web:**

[www.allianceresources.com.au](http://www.allianceresources.com.au)

**Projects:**

**Wilcherry JV, SA (51%):** gold  
and base metals

**Nepean South, WA (100%):**  
nickel-gold

**Gundockerta Sth, WA (100%):**  
nickel-gold

**Bogan Gate, NSW (100%):** gold-  
base metals

**Garema, NSW (100%):** gold

**Share Registry:**

Computershare Investor  
Services  
GPO Box 2975  
Melbourne Victoria 3001  
AUSTRALIA  
Tel: 1300 850 505  
Fax: +61 3 9473 2500

## **GOLD IN SOIL ANOMALY UPDATE** *Nepean South Project*

- **Gold in soil anomaly (gold anomaly) at Nepean South is now 1,350m in length, up to 850m wide and remains open in the north.**
- **The size and concentration of this gold anomaly is potentially indicative of a primary gold occurrence.**

Alliance Resources Limited (Alliance) is pleased to announce an update of exploration activities at its Nepean South nickel-gold project (Project) in Western Australia's Eastern Goldfields.

The Project is located 26 km southwest of Coolgardie and captures the interpreted southern extension of the ultramafic sequence hosting the Nepean Nickel Mine (now closed). The project is prospective for both komatiitic-hosted nickel sulphide deposits and greenstone-hosted orogenic gold deposits.

Alliance has continued to define the gold anomaly located approximately 4km southwest of the Nepean Mine.

The previously reported gold anomaly is now better defined following a third phase of auger soil sampling at the Project, the main gold anomaly (>6.5 ppb) is now 1,350m in length, has a variable width up to 850m and remains open in the north (Figure 1).

The size and concentration of this gold anomaly is potentially indicative of a primary gold occurrence.


### **Background**

During March 2017 a third phase of auger soil sampling was completed at the Nepean South Project. This soil sampling program consisted of 642 samples collected on a 50 metre by 50 metre and 50 metre by 200 metre spaced grid to infill and extend previously identified gold anomaly defined by 200 metre by 50 metre spaced sampling (refer to ASX Announcement dated 24 January 2017).

The results of this soil survey have better defined the main gold anomaly (>6.5 ppb) at Nepean South. The anomaly strikes northwest, is 1,350 metres long, has a variable width up to 850 metres, and is open to the north.

A second area of gold in soil anomalism has been identified by a 200 metre spaced line located 650 metres to the northeast of the main gold anomaly. This area warrants infill and extensional auger soil sampling.

The next phase of exploration at the Project is infill and extensional auger soil sampling in the north of the survey area to continue to further define the distribution of gold to assist with targeting primary gold mineralisation. A 342 sample program is proposed using a combination of 50 metre by 50 metre and 50 metre by 200 metre spaced grids. Alliance proposes to test the anomalies with aircore drilling shortly after soil sampling concludes.



**Figure 1. Nepean South Project: Gold in soil results on an aeromagnetic image**

**Legend -**

**Blue diamonds: 0 – 6.5 ppb Au**

**Red line: > 6.5 ppb Au contour**

**Yellow diamonds: 6.5 – 9.5 ppb Au (anomalous)**

**Red diamonds: > 9.5 ppb Au (highly anomalous)**

**Table A – Auger soil gold results >=6.5ppb**

Sample_ID	North_MGA	East_MGA	Depth_m	Comments	Au (ppb)
NS000001	6547000	314950	1.2	loam	11.5
NS000003	6547000	315050	1.2	loam	10
NS000004	6547000	315100	1.2	loam	9.5
NS000005	6547000	315150	1.2	calcrete	8
NS000006	6547000	315200	1	calcrete	9
NS000007	6547000	315250	1.2	calcrete	8
NS000008	6547000	315300	1.2	calcrete	14.5
NS000009	6547000	315350	1.2	loam	12
NS000010	6547000	315400	1.2	loam	9
NS000011	6547000	315450	1.2	loam	8
NS000012	6547000	315500	1.2	calcrete	10
NS000013	6547000	315550	1.2	calcrete	8
NS000014	6547000	315600	1.2	loam	7
NS000101	6546600	317350	0.5	felsic, greenstone, quartz	15
NS000164	6546400	314750	1.2	loam	9.5
NS000181	6546400	315600	2	sand and gravel	6.5
NS000235	6546800	314950	1	calcrete	9
NS000247	6546800	315550	1.2	calcrete	7
NS000248	6546800	315600	1.2	calcrete	11.3
NS000249	6546800	315650	1.2	calcrete	14.3
NS000253	6546800	315850	1	calcrete	9
NS000254	6546800	315900	1.2	loam	9
NS000290	6547000	314850	1.2	loam	7
NS000295	6547200	314750	1.2	loam	8
NS000297	6547200	314850	1.2	loam	7.5
NS000299	6547200	314950	1.2	loam	9
NS000300	6547200	315000	1.2	loam	9
NS000301	6547200	315050	1	calcrete	14.5
NS000302	6547200	315100	1.2	loam	11.5
NS000303	6547200	315150	1	calcrete	9.5
NS000304	6547200	315200	1	calcrete	11
NS000305	6547200	315250	1.2	loam	8
NS000307	6547200	315350	1.2	loam	8
NS000309	6547200	315450	1.2	loam	8.5
NS000310	6547200	315500	1.2	loam	8
NS000325	6547200	316250	1	loam	9
NS000349	6547400	314650	1.2	loam	6.5
NS000355	6547400	314950	1.2	loam	7
NS000356	6547400	315000	1.2	loam	8
NS000357	6547400	315050	1	calcrete	8
NS000358	6547400	315100	1.2	clay soil	7
NS000359	6547400	315150	1.2	loam	7
NS000361	6547400	315250	1.2	loam	7
NS000377	6547400	316050	1.2	loam	6.5
NS000378	6547400	316100	1.2	loam	7.5
NS000385	6547400	316450	1	calcrete	8
NS000404	6547800	314600	1	loam	6.5
NS000406	6547800	314700	1	loam	7
NS000407	6547800	314750	1	loam	7
NS000408	6547800	314800	1	loam	9
NS000412	6547800	315000	1	clay soil	8
NS000414	6547800	315100	1	clay soil	7
NS000416	6547800	315200	1	loam	7
NS000422	6547800	315500	1.2	clay soil, wash	7
NS000423	6547800	315550	1	loam	9
NS000424	6547800	315600	1.2	loam	7
NS000425	6547800	315650	1	loam	10
NS000426	6547800	315700	1	clay soil	11.5
NS000427	6547800	315750	1.2	clay soil	8
NS000429	6547800	315850	1.2	clay soil	11
NS000430	6547800	315900	1	loam	8
NS000434	6547800	316100	1	loam	7
NS000435	6547800	316150	1	loam	7
NS000436	6547800	316200	1	loam	7
NS000439	6547800	316350	1	loam	7
NS000449	6547800	316850	1	loam	6.5
NS000453	6547800	317050	1	calcrete	7
NS000459	6547790	317350	1	calcrete	7
NS000460	6547600	314600	1.2	loam	9
NS000462	6547600	314700	1	loam	7
NS000464	6547600	314800	1	loam	7
NS000491	6547600	316150	1	loam	7
NS000498	6547600	316500	1	loam	7
NS000517	6547350	314650	1	loam	7.5

**Table A – Auger soil gold results >=6.5ppb (continued)**

Sample_ID	North_MGA	East_MGA	Depth_m	Comments	Au (ppb)
NS000523	6547350	314950	1	loam	9
NS000525	6547350	315050	1.2	loam	7
NS000527	6547350	315150	1	clay soil	7
NS000531	6547350	315350	1	loam	8
NS000550	6547350	316300	1	loam	6.5
NS000562	6547300	314600	1	loam	7
NS000563	6547300	314650	1	loam	7
NS000565	6547300	314750	1	loam	7
NS000569	6547300	314950	1	loam	10
NS000570	6547300	315000	1	loam	10
NS000571	6547300	315050	1	loam	8
NS000576	6547300	315300	1	loam	7
NS000577	6547300	315350	1	loam	10
NS000590	6547300	316000	1	loam	7
NS000595	6547300	316250	1	calcrete	7
NS000605	6547300	317250	0.3	subcrop, greenstone	7
NS000606	6547300	317300	0.5	saprolite, quartz	7
NS000608	6547250	314600	1	loam	7
NS000611	6547250	314750	1	loam	8
NS000616	6547250	315000	1	loam	8
NS000617	6547250	315050	1	loam	9
NS000618	6547250	315100	1	loam	7
NS000619	6547250	315150	1	loam	10.5
NS000623	6547250	315350	1	loam	8
NS000641	6547250	316250	1	calcrete	9
NS000656	6547140	314750	1	loam	8
NS000661	6547150	315000	1	loam	9
NS000662	6547150	315050	1	loam	11
NS000663	6547150	315100	1	loam	8
NS000664	6547150	315150	1	loam	10
NS000665	6547150	315200	1	loam	12
NS000666	6547150	315250	1	loam	13
NS000667	6547150	315300	1	loam	7
NS000668	6547150	315350	1	loam	10
NS000669	6547150	315400	1	loam	9
NS000672	6547150	315550	1	loam	7
NS000687	6547150	316300	1	loam	7
NS000706	6547100	315000	1	loam	11
NS000707	6547100	315050	1	loam	11
NS000708	6547100	315100	1	calcrete	8
NS000709	6547100	315150	1.2	clay soil	9
NS000710	6547100	315200	1	loam	10
NS000711	6547100	315250	1.2	clay soil	11
NS000713	6547100	315350	1	loam	7
NS000714	6547100	315400	1.2	clay soil	8
NS000715	6547100	315450	1	loam	9
NS000733	6547100	316350	1	loam	7
NS000739	6547100	317150	1.2	saprolite	7
NS000750	6547050	314950	1	loam	10
NS000751	6547050	315000	1	loam	9
NS000752	6547050	315050	1	loam	11
NS000753	6547050	315100	1	loam	8
NS000754	6547050	315150	1	loam	11
NS000755	6547050	315200	1	clay soil	13.5
NS000756	6547050	315250	1.2	clay soil	12
NS000757	6547050	315300	1.2	clay soil	10
NS000758	6547050	315350	1	loam	7
NS000759	6547050	315400	1	loam	7
NS000760	6547050	315450	1	loam	7
NS000761	6547050	315500	1.2	clay soil	7
NS000762	6547050	315550	1	loam	7
NS000766	6547050	315750	1	loam	7
NS000779	6547050	316400	1	loam	11.5
NS000780	6547050	316450	1	loam	8
NS000792	6546950	314800	1.2	loam	9
NS000795	6546950	314950	1	loam	7
NS000797	6546950	315050	1.2	clay soil	7
NS000798	6546960	315100	1	loam	10
NS000799	6546950	315150	1	calcrete	12
NS000800	6546950	315200	1	loam	7
NS000801	6546950	315250	1	loam	12
NS000802	6546950	315300	1	loam	14
NS000803	6546950	315350	1	loam	10
NS000804	6546950	315400	1	calcrete	9

**Table A – Auger soil gold results  $\geq 6.5$ ppb (continued)**

Sample_ID	North_MGA	East_MGA	Depth_m	Comments	Au (ppb)
NS000805	6546950	315450	1	calcrete	12
NS000806	6546950	315500	1	calcrete	11
NS000807	6546950	315550	1	loam	12
NS000808	6546950	315600	1	loam	7
NS000809	6546950	315650	1	loam	9
NS000810	6546950	315700	1	loam	7
NS000814	6546950	315900	1	loam	7
NS000820	6546900	314750	1	calcrete	8
NS000824	6546900	314950	1	loam	7
NS000825	6546900	315000	1	clay soil	7
NS000826	6546900	315050	1	loam	14
NS000827	6546900	315100	1	calcrete	18.5
NS000828	6546900	315150	1	loam	9
NS000829	6546900	315200	1	calcrete	12
NS000830	6546900	315250	1	calcrete	14
NS000831	6546900	315300	1	loam	9
NS000832	6546900	315350	1	loam	14
NS000833	6546900	315400	1	calcrete	8
NS000834	6546900	315450	1.2	loam	14.5
NS000835	6546900	315500	1	calcrete	12
NS000836	6546900	315550	1	calcrete	9
NS000837	6546900	315600	1	loam	12
NS000838	6546900	315650	1	calcrete	15
NS000839	6546900	315700	1	loam	9
NS000840	6546900	315750	1	loam	7
NS000843	6546900	315900	1	loam	7
NS000853	6546850	314950	1	loam	7
NS000854	6546850	315000	1	calcrete	8
NS000855	6546850	315050	1	calcrete	7
NS000856	6546850	315100	1.2	calcrete	9
NS000857	6546850	315150	1.8	loam	9
NS000860	6546860	315300	0.5	calcrete	7
NS000861	6546870	315350	1	calcrete	12
NS000867	6546850	315650	1	calcrete	11
NS000868	6546850	315700	1.2	calcrete	17.5
NS000869	6546840	315750	1	calcrete	10
NS000870	6546850	315800	1	calcrete	7
NS000871	6546840	315850	1	calcrete	7
NS000874	6546850	316000	1	loam	7
NS000875	6546850	316050	1	loam	7
NS000881	6546750	314900	1	calcrete	7
NS000882	6546750	314950	1	calcrete	7
NS000895	6546750	315600	1.2	calcrete	9
NS000896	6546750	315650	1.2	calcrete	11
NS000902	6546750	315950	1	calcrete	8
NS000903	6546750	316000	1.2	calcrete	7
NS000911	6546750	317300	0.5	subcrop, saprolite, quartz,	11
NS000918	6546690	314900	1.2	calcrete	9
NS000920	6546700	315000	1.2	sand and gravel	9
NS000933	6546700	315650	1.8	calcrete	13
NS000939	6546700	315950	1.2	loam	7
NS000941	6546700	316050	1.2	calcrete	7
NS000948	6546700	317300	0.3	subcrop, saprolite, quartz	18
NS000949	6546700	317350	1	saprolite, quartz	8
NS000956	6546650	314950	1.2	clay soil, gravel	14.5
NS000966	6546650	317300	0.5	outcrop, saprolite	8
NS000967	6546650	317350	0.5	subcrop, greenstone	18
NS000971	6546550	314800	1	loam	8
NS000983	6546550	317300	0.5	saprolite, quartz	8
NS000984	6546550	317350	0.5	subcrop, greenstone, quartz	30
NS000988	6546500	314800	1	loam	7
NS001005	6546450	314800	1.2	loam	11.5
NS001022	6546350	314800	1	calcrete	7
NS001026	6546350	315000	1.2	calcrete	8
NS001027	6546350	315050	1.2	calcrete	8.5
NS001030	6546300	314750	1	calcrete	8
NS001031	6546300	314800	1	calcrete	7
NS001034	6546300	314950	1.5	sand and gravel	7
NS001035	6546300	315000	1	calcrete	9
NS001036	6546300	315050	1	calcrete	8
NS001043	6546250	314950	1	calcrete	8
NS001044	6546250	315000	1	calcrete	12.5

**Steve Johnston**  
**Managing Director**

*Alliance Resources Ltd has projects in South Australia, Western Australia and New South Wales for gold and base metals. For further information about Alliance Resources Ltd, please visit [www.allianceresources.com.au](http://www.allianceresources.com.au)*

### **Competent Person's Statement**

The information in this report that relates to the Exploration Results is based on information compiled by Mr Stephen Johnston who is a Member of The Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. Mr Johnston is a full time employee of Alliance Resources Ltd and has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves. Mr Johnston consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.

Section 1 – Sampling Techniques and Data		
Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	<i>Nature and quality of sampling (eg. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc.). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</i>	Sample type was soil samples from auger drilling.
	<i>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</i>	Industry standard practice has been applied on site to ensure sample representivity. The laboratory has applied appropriate QA-QC to sample preparation and appropriate calibration/QA-QC to analytical instruments.
	<i>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where ‘industry standard’ work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg. ‘reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1m samples from which 3kg was pulverised to produce a 30g charge for fire assay’)</i>	Auger drilling was used to obtain a ~200g sample from the end of auger hole (between 0.3m and 2m depth) which was pulverised to produce a 10g charge prior to aqua regia digestion with ICP-MS finish.
Drilling techniques	<i>Drill type (eg. core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc.) and details (eg. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc.).</i>	Open hole auger drilling.
Drill sample recovery	<i>Method recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</i>	~200g sample collected from end of hole in calcrete horizon (if present)
	<i>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</i>	Sample recovery 100% due to method of sampling (auger drilling). Calcrete horizon preferentially sampled.
	<i>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</i>	Low potential for sample bias due to method of geochemical sampling (auger drilling).
Logging	<i>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</i>	The sample medium and carbonate abundance was noted for all samples collected.
	<i>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc.) photography.</i>	Sample logging is qualitative (e.g. regolith type and carbonate intensity).
	<i>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</i>	All soil samples were logged for regolith type and carbonate intensity.
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	<i>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</i>	Not applicable.
	<i>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc. and whether sampled wet or dry.</i>	A sample scoop was used to collect a ~200g sample of auger drill spoil from the end of hole.
	<i>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</i>	Sample preparation and analyses was carried out by MinAnalytical in Perth. All samples were dried, crushed, pulverised and split to produce a charge of 10g for analyses.
	<i>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximize representivity of samples.</i>	The calcrete horizon was preferentially sampled. Acid was used to test for presence of carbonate. The sample medium and carbonate abundance was noted for all samples.
	<i>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</i>	All samples were collected as ~200g samples at the end of each hole. No duplicate samples were submitted to the laboratory.
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	<i>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</i>	The sample sizes are considered to be appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.
	<i>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</i>	The analytical technique (AR10MS) uses 3 acid (partial) digestion followed by ICP-MS for Ag, As, Au, Bi, Cu, Ni, Pb, Sb, Te, W and Zn. The technique is considered appropriate for the sample type.
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	<i>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc., the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibration factors applied and their deviation, etc.</i>	Not applicable.



Section 1 – Sampling Techniques and Data		
Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<i>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie. lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i>	Sample duplicates and sample standards were inserted into the sample sequence every 26 samples by the laboratory. Sample blanks were inserted into the sample sequence every 52 samples by the laboratory. The analyses of the duplicates indicate acceptable levels of accuracy have been established.
Verification of sampling and assaying	<i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i>	Alternative company geologists have verified the significant results that are tabled in this report.
	<i>The use of twinned holes.</i>	Not applicable.
	<i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i>	Each sample bag was labelled with a unique sample number. Sample numbers are used to match analyses from the laboratory to the in-house database containing sampling data.
	<i>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</i>	Other than arithmetically averaging of repeat analyses, no adjustments have been made to analyses.
Location of data points	<i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other location used in Mineral Resource estimation.</i>	Auger collars were surveyed by handheld GPS. Expected horizontal accuracy is +/-4m (95%) and vertical accuracy is +/-10m (95%).
	<i>Specification of the grid system used.</i>	MGA94, zone 51.
	<i>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</i>	Topographic control is considered adequate.
Data spacing and distribution	<i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</i>	Data spacing is listed in Table A in the body of the report.
	<i>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedures(s) and classifications applied.</i>	Not applicable at this stage of exploration.
	<i>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</i>	No sample compositing has been applied.
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	<i>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</i>	Not applicable at this stage of exploration.
	<i>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</i>	Not applicable at this stage of exploration.
Sample security	<i>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</i>	Samples were transported offsite each day to a secure location prior to transportation to the laboratory.
Audits or reviews	<i>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</i>	No audits or reviews have been undertaken.

Section 2 – Reporting of Exploration Results		
Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	<i>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</i>	The Nepean South Project (E15/1483) is owned 100% by Alliance (SA) Pty Ltd ( <b>Alliance</b> ). The Project is centred 40 km southwest of Coolgardie, Western Australia.
	<i>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</i>	The tenement is in good standing with no known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.
Exploration done by other parties	<i>Acknowledgement and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</i>	The area has been explored by companies including Metals Exploration Ltd (1968-1985), Triton Resources Ltd (1994-2000), Resolute Ltd (1995-1999), Hannans Reward Ltd (2005-2008), Mincor Resources Ltd (2006-2013) and HD Mining and Investment Pty Ltd (2012-2014). All previous work has been appraised by Alliance.
Geology	<i>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</i>	The Nepean South project captures the interpreted southern extension of the ultramafic sequence hosting the Nepean Nickel Mine (historic production: 1.1 Mt @ 3.0% Ni for 32,200 t



Section 2 – Reporting of Exploration Results		
Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		Ni) (not part of E15/1483). The project is prospective for both komatiitic-hosted nickel sulphide mineralisation and greenstone-hosted orogenic gold mineralisation.
Drill hole Information	<p>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• easting and northing of the drill hole collar;</li> <li>• elevation or RL (reduced Level - elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar;</li> <li>• dip and azimuth of the hole;</li> <li>• down hole length and interception depth;</li> <li>• hole length.</li> </ul> <p>If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</p>	Refer to the Table A in the body of report for all significant gold results from the auger soil drilling to which this report relates.
Data aggregation methods	In reporting Exploration results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncation (eg. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually material and should be stated.	Repeat results were arithmetically averaged for the purpose of reporting. Only results $\geq 6.5$ ppb Au are reported in Table A.
	Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregation should be shown in detail.	Not applicable.
	The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.	No metal equivalents are reported.
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	<p>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</p> <p>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</p> <p>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg. 'down hole length, true width not known').</p>	Not applicable as results are soil geochemical results.
Diagrams	Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.	Refer to figure in the body of the announcement.
Balanced reporting	Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.	Only results $\geq 6.5$ ppb Au are reported in Table A. The location of all samples (including those $< 6.5$ ppb Au) is illustrated in Figure 1.
Other substantive exploration data	Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; bulk samples - size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density; groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.	All relevant exploration data collected so far have been reported.
Further work	The nature and scale of planned further work (eg. tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling). Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.	Refer to main body of report.